THROUGH BOND AND THROUGH SPACE INTERACTION IN 1,5-DIMETHYL-3,7-DIMETHYLENE-TETRACYCLO[3.3.0.0^{2,8}.0^{4,6}]OCTANE

Rolf Gleiter and Gerhard Jähne

Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Heidelberg Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-6900 Heidelberg (W-Germany)

Summary: The synthesis of the hydrocarbons 4-6 has been achieved via Wittig reaction from the diketones 1-3. By means of He(I)photoelectron spectroscopy it is shown that the interaction between the π -fragments in 4-6 increases strongly.

Recently we demonstrated by means of He(I) photoelectron (PE) spectroscopy that the interaction between the 2p-lone-pairs on the oxygen atoms increases considerably in the series <u>1</u>, <u>2</u>, and <u>3</u> mediated by the σ -skeleton¹.



To explore the prevailing interaction between the π -moieties, we have prepared $\underline{4}$ - $\underline{6}$ by applying the Wittig reaction to $\underline{1}$ - $\underline{3}$ as indicated in (1). Under the reaction conditions indicated we obtained $\underline{4}$ - $\underline{6}$ in yields between 20 % and 50 %³.

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \xrightarrow{\text{DMSO, NaH}} (C_6H_5)_3P - CH_3^+Br^- = \frac{4}{2} - \frac{6}{2}$$
(1)
80° C/2d

In Tab. 1 the measured ionization energies (I.E.) are compared with the orbital energies (ϵ_1) obtained by model calculations⁴ using the HAM/3⁶ procedure.

Table 1: Comparison between the measured vertical ionization energies (I.E.) of 4-6 and the calculated orbital energies (ε_j) according to HAM/3. (w = Walsh-typ orbital, ΔI and $\Delta \varepsilon$ indicate the difference between π_{+} and π_{-}). All values in eV.

compound	band	Ι.Ε.	assignment		IΔΙ	- ε _j	Δε
	1	8.9	^b 1	(m_)	0.2	8.53	0.3
<u>4</u>	2	9.1	^a 1	(π ₊)	0.2	8.74	0.2
(C _{2v})	3	10.3	σ			10.85	
	1	8.36	a'	(π ₊)	0.4	8.43	0.37
5	2	8.77	a''	(π_)		8.80	
(C _s)	3	9.60	a''	(w)		9.74	
	1	7.89	a ₁	(m ₊)		8.19	
ğ	2	8.93	a ₂	(w)	1.26	8.94	1.07
(C _{2v})	3	9.15	^b 1	(π_)		9.26	
	4	9.93	^b 2	(w)		10.06	

As seen from Tab. 1 we observe a strong increase in the splitting between the energies of the orbitals $\pi_{+} = 1/\sqrt{2}(\pi_{1} + \pi_{2})$ and $\pi_{-} = 1/\sqrt{2}(\pi_{1} - \pi_{2})$ where π_{1} and π_{2} denote the localized π -orbitals in $\frac{4}{2}-\frac{6}{2}$.

To interprete the data we divide the interaction prevailing in the systems 4-6 into a spatial part (through space) and an interaction which is mediated via the σ -skeleton (through bond)⁷.

To estimate the spatial interaction we have carried out model calculations on two ethylene molecules in the spatial arrangement identical to that one of $\underline{4}$, $\underline{5}$ and $\underline{6}$ using the HAM/3 procedure which has proved to be a valuable guide (see Tab. 1). The following distances (a, b) between the carbons have

ì



been assumed⁴. $\underline{4}$: a = 4.04 Å, b = 6.29 Å; $\underline{5}$: a = 3.11 Å, b = 4.74 Å; $\underline{6}$: a = 2.87 Å, b = 4.84 Å. The calculated split between π_{-} and π_{+} is found to be: 0.04 eV ($\underline{4}$), 0.12 eV ($\underline{5}$), and 0.17 eV ($\underline{6}$).

These results clearly show that the through space interaction in 4-6 is small and that the increase of splitting encountered in the series 4-6 is due to an increase in the π/σ interaction.

Analyzing the MO's of 4-6 shows a strong increase in the π/σ mixing for π_+ whereas the interaction between π - and the σ frame remains essentially constant (see Fig. 1).



Fig. 1: Schematic drawing of $a_1(\pi_+)$ and $b_1(\pi_-)$ of $\underline{4}$ (top) and $\underline{6}$ (bottom) according to a MINDO/3 calculation.

We are grateful to the Stiftung Volkswagenwerk, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the BASF Aktiengesellschaft for financial support.

We thank Dr. P. Kunzelmann, Mrs. G. Rissmann, Mr. W. Dobler, and Mr. J. Uschmann for NMR measurements.

References and Notes:

- G. Jähne, R. Gleiter, Angew.Chem. <u>95</u>, 500 (1983), Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. <u>22</u>, 488 (1983); Angew. Chem. Suppl. <u>1983</u>, 661².
- 2) Related systems to 1-3 have been reported by: K. Kratzat, F.W. Nader, T. Schwarz, Angew. Chem. 93, 611 (1981), Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 20, 589 (1981); L.S. Miller, K. Grohmann, J.J. Dannenberg, L. Todaro, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6249 (1981); R. Gompper, M.-L. Schwarzensteiner, Angew. Chem. 94, 447 (1982), Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 21, 438 (1982); H.-D. Martin, C. Heller, J. Werp, Chem. Ber. 107, 1393 (1974).
- 3) Compounds 4-6 have been characterized by elemental analysis as well as by their ${}^{1}\text{H}$ -, ${}^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR, IR, UV and Mass spectra. Selected data are: $4:b.p. 70-75^{\circ}\text{C}$ (36 Torr, kugelrohr); IR (film) 1650 cm⁻¹; ${}^{1}\text{H}$ -NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta: 4.9-4.77$ (4H, m), 2.33-2.20 (8H, m); ${}^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta: 150.69$ (s), 106.54 (t), 50.52 (s), 45.76 (t), 61.64 (q). $5: b.p. 72-75^{\circ}\text{C}$ (40 Torr, kugelrohr); IR (film) 1650 cm⁻¹; ${}^{1}\text{H}$ -NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta: 4.86$ (4H, m), 2.53 (2H, d (~15 Hz), m), 2.03 (2H, d (~15 Hz), m), 1.98 (2H, s), 1.30 (3H, s), 1.20 (3H, s); ${}^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta: 150.90$ (s), 104.92 (t), 48.87 (s), 44.29 (s), 43.24 (d), 22.84 (q), 15.20 (q). $5: b.p. 65-70^{\circ}\text{C}$ (30 Torr, kugelrohr); IR (film) 1655 cm⁻¹; ${}^{1}\text{H}$ -NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta: 4.83$ (4H, s), 1.83 (4H, s), 1.33 (6H, s); ${}^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR (75.46 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta: 148.27$ (s), 102.34 (t), 39.94 (d), 38.98 (s), 14.21 (q); UV (cyclohexane) $\lambda_{max}: 228$ nm (log $\varepsilon = 4.246$).
- 4) Since the geometry of $\underline{4}-\underline{6}$ is not known we have minimized their heat of formation by varying all geometrical parameters assuming C_{2v} ($\underline{4}$, $\underline{6}$) and C_s ($\underline{5}$) symmetry using the MINDO/3 method⁵.
- 5) R. Bingham, M.J.S. Dewar, D.H. Lo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>97</u>, 1285 (1975);
 P. Bischof, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>98</u>, 6844 (1976).
- 6) L. Åsbrink, C. Fridh, E. Lindholm, Chem. Phys. Letters 52, 63 (1977).
- 7) R. Hoffmann, A. Imamura, W. Hehre, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>90</u>, 1499 (1968);
 E. Heilbronner, A. Schmelzer, Helv. Chim. Acta <u>58</u>, 936 (1975);
 reviews: R. Hoffmann, Acc. Chem. Res. <u>4</u>, 1 (1971); R. Gleiter, Angew.
 Chem. <u>86</u>, 770 (1974), Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. <u>13</u>, 696 (1974); M.N.
 Paddon-Row, Acc. Chem. Res. <u>15</u>, 245 (1982); H.-D. Martin, B. Mayer, Angew.
 Chem. <u>95</u>, 281 (1983), Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. <u>22</u>, 283 (1983).

(Received in Germany 8 August 1983)